

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/828,408	LAKSHMANAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suchin Parihar	2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowable

(1) Suchin Parihar (Examiner).

(3) _____

(2) Henry Groth, Ass't to Eric Whitesell (38657).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1/23/2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
7-10 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested that Applicant amend claims 7-10 and 14 wherein the recited phrase "The computer program product" be changed to "The computer readable storage medium" as recited in the parent claim, claim 6, so that claims 7-10 and 14 properly depend from their parent claim. Applicant agreed to the changes and suggested the Examiner's Amendment be implemented by the Examiner.

Suchin Parihar
Examiner
AU 2825
571-272-6210